

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/686,373 10/11/2000		0/11/2000	Philippe Duchaussoy	IVD 941-2	6839	
27546	7590	04/21/2003				
SANOFI-SYNTHELABO INC.				EXAMINER ,		
9 GREAT VALLEY PARKWAY P.O. BOX 3026				FONDA, KATHI	THLEEN KAHLER	
MALVERN	MALVERN, PA 19355			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				1623	9	
				DATE MAILED: 04/21/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Alicent/o)				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/686,373	DUCHAUSSOY ET AL.				
	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Kathleen Kahler Fonda, Ph.D.	1623				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to av final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply to a				
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the content o	divisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFI f extension and the corresponding amothe shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>07 April 2003</u> . Appe 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be						
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
<ul><li>(c)  they are not deemed to place the application ir issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the				
(d) they present additional claims without canceling	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.				
NOTE:						
<ol><li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection</li></ol>	on(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment				
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		dered but does NOT place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed: none.						
Claim(s) objected to: none.						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-21 and 25-29</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a	a) approved or b) disapp	roved by the Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	t(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	<del>.</del>				
10.  ☐ Other:		Kathleen Kahler Fonda, Ph.D. Primary Examiner				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-303 (Rev. 04-01)





Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: of reasons of record. Applicant's argment that there had been no way to prepare compounds of the claims is not convincing in view of column 4 of PETITOU (A). Applicant's allegation of unexpected results is not convincing because there is no evidence of such results, and no claims appear to be limited to such compounds. With regard to arguments about the advantages of the claimed compounds (middle of page 2 of after final response), the Examiner notes that there is no requirement that the Examiner provide a motivation which is the same as Applicant's.